



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,697	09/30/2003	Amanda Baer	HSJ9-2003-0032US1 (0107-0)	6166
7590 07/17/2009 ATTN: John J. Oskorep One Magnificent Mile Center Suite 1400 980 N. Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611			EXAMINER GRAMAGLIA, MAUREEN	
			ART UNIT 1792	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/17/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte:* AMANDA BAER, MARIE-CLAIRE CYRILLE,  
FREDERICK HAYES DILL, BENJAMIN LU CHEN WANG,  
CHARINGYE HWANG, and MUSTAFA PINARBASI

---

Application 10/675,697  
Technology Center 1700

---

Mailed: July 17, 2009

---

Before ERIC W. HAWTHORNE, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*  
HAWTHORNE, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on September 29, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer mailed May 28, 2008, under the heading "Grounds of rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" is either unclear or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims set forth in the last Office action of record. The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal as provided in the Examiner's Answer must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Furthermore, the examiner must provide a clear statement of whether examiner agrees or disagrees with the statement of grounds of rejection to be reviewed as set forth in the brief and an explanation of any disagreement. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1207.02 (8<sup>th</sup> ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

A review of the Examiner's Answer finds that it is unclear what rejected claims are on appeal as compared to the last Office action and those set forth in the Examiner's Answer.

Specifically, the examiner indicates in the Answer that claims 21, 22, and 27 have not been withdrawn, but are not on appeal. Clarification of the record is required for all Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to enter a paper clarifying the status of the rejection of claims 21, 22, and 27;

OR

- 2) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed May 28, 2008 and generate a new Examiner's Answer setting forth the correct Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal and to correct other sections of the Answer as may be required;

- 3) to include the approval of the TC Director or his/her designee (as required for any new grounds of rejection); and

- 4) for such further action as may be required.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

EWH/pgc

ATTN: John J. Oskorep  
One Magnificent Mile Center  
Suite 1400  
980 N. Michigan Avenue  
Chicago IL 60611